

CSE Qualifying Exam, Fall 2021: Numerical Analysis

Instructions:

- This is a CLOSED BOOK exam. No books or notes are allowed.
- No calculators, computers, phones, or internet usage allowed at any time during the exam (except for purposes of electronic proctoring, e.g., Honorlock).
- Answer three of the following four questions. All questions are graded on a scale of 10. If you answer all four, all answers will be graded and the three lowest scores will be used in computing your total.
- Show all your work and write in a readable way. Points will be awarded for correctness as well as clarity.
- Good luck!

1. Consider two real $m \times 3$ matrices A and V ,

$$A = [a_1 \ a_2 \ a_3], \quad V = [v_1 \ v_2 \ v_3]$$

where a_1 is the first column of A , etc. You wish to construct V such that $V^T V = I$ and such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{span}(v_3) &= \text{span}(a_3) \\ \text{span}(v_2, v_3) &= \text{span}(a_2, a_3) \\ \text{span}(v_1, v_2, v_3) &= \text{span}(a_1, a_2, a_3) \end{aligned}$$

- Write formulas for v_1 , v_2 , and v_3 .
 - If your formulas are executed on a computer using finite precision arithmetic, what issues may arise?
 - What is backward stability? Are your formulas backward stable?
 - If your formulas are backward stable, then how many floating point operations are required (count additions, subtractions, multiplications, and divisions as one operation each).
 - If your formulas are not backward stable, can you improve your formulas, or are your formulas useless?
2. Given a nonsingular *tridiagonal* matrix A of dimensions 5×5 , instead of computing an LU decomposition, one could compute the following decomposition:

$$A = MN$$

where

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 & 1 & m_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & m_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad N = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & n_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & n_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & n_3 & d_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & n_4 & d_5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrices M and N are essentially triangular, since one can use successive substitution to solve systems of equations involving M or N .

- Show how to compute the unknown values indicated in M and N , i.e., how to compute the factorization.
 - Prove that the $(3, 3)$ entry of A^{-1} is the same as $1/d_{33}$. (In fact, this result can be generalized.) Hint: first try to prove this result if you have a regular LU decomposition.
3. For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, consider the SVD of A , $U^T A V = \Sigma$ and let its singular values be $\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_p \geq 0$ where $p = \min\{m, n\}$.

(a) Prove $\|A\|_2 = \sigma_1$ and $\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \dots + \sigma_p^2}$.

(b) Prove

$$\sigma_{\max}(A) = \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}^m, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{y^T A x}{\|x\|_2 \|y\|_2}.$$

4. Suppose $A_o \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is symmetric and positive definite and consider the following iteration:

```
for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$   
     $A_{k-1} = G_k G_k^T$  (Cholesky factorization)  
     $A_k = G_k^T G_k$   
end
```

(a) Show that this iteration is defined.

(b) Show that if $A_o = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$ with $a \geq c$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 > 0$, then the A_k converge to $\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$.